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SUMMARY

Protest coverage often casts protesters and their causes in a negative light, particularly when covering underrepresented groups. To help journalists frame stories in ways that do not harm these groups, the Center for Media Engagement examined two story areas of particular concern.

The results showed that stories that humanize – rather than criminalize – the person whose death sparked a protest can lead people to have more positive attitudes toward the person, the protest itself, and the protesters. Additionally, stories that legitimize protests can result in more positive attitudes toward the protest and the protesters.

When it comes to story credibility, stories that humanize the person whose death sparked a protest can lead Democrats and those with Democrat-leaning views to perceive the news story as more credible. However, it can also lead Republicans and those with Republican-leaning views to perceive the story as less credible.

SUGGESTED CITATION:
PROBLEM

Decades of research show that mainstream media tend to cover protests — particularly of underrepresented groups — in ways that cast protesters and their causes in a negative light.¹

In this study, the Center for Media Engagement examined how journalists can better tell stories about protests so that they do not marginalize protesters or their causes. We focused on protests about police violence against Black people and violence against Latin American immigrants because both issues are racialized. Historically, protests challenging racism and colonialization have received the type of coverage that marginalizes or delegitimizes protesters and their causes, at least in the United States.²

This research is part of our connective democracy initiative, funded by the John S. and James L. Knight Foundation. Connective democracy seeks to find practical solutions to the problem of divisiveness.

KEY FINDINGS

Participants viewed a news story about a protest that stemmed either from the death of a Black teenager shot by police or from the death of a Latin American immigrant teenager who died in a migrant detention center. We found that across both topics:

- Stories that humanized – rather than criminalized – the teenager whose death sparked the protest led people to have more positive attitudes toward the teenager, the protest itself, and the protesters.

- Stories that humanized the teenager led Democrats and those with Democrat-leaning views to perceive the news story as more credible. The opposite was found for Republicans and those with Republican-leaning views. They perceived the humanizing story as less credible.

- Stories that legitimized – rather than delegitimized – the protest resulted in more positive attitudes toward the protest and the protesters. Stories told in a legitimizing way had no effect on attitudes toward the teenager in the story or on perceptions of the story’s credibility.
**IMPLICATIONS**

Newsrooms should humanize – rather than criminalize – people whose deaths prompt protests, especially among underrepresented groups. Additionally, they should use language that legitimizes, rather than delegitimizes, the protests.

To humanize a story, reporters can mention personal information about the person who is the focus of the protest. For example, the story can touch on their personality, hobbies, family, and the circumstances that led to the protest, rather than focus on details like their criminal past or speculation about possible criminal activity.

Language that legitimizes the protest should focus on the purpose of the demonstration, rather than emphasizing disruptions. Reporters can include motivations for the protest, changes that are being called for, and background information on the broader movement and relevant past events. When stories mention protest activity and protesters’ actions, peaceful and non-radical demonstration tactics should be acknowledged, especially when isolated clashes, property damage, and violence are being discussed.

Telling stories in these ways may help people better understand the protest and the protesters, thereby running less of a risk that protesters or the protests will be delegitimized. Also, in some cases, telling stories this way may boost perceptions that the news story is credible. While this may backfire with some audiences, the benefits of telling stories in humanizing and legitimizing ways outweigh the drawbacks.

**FULL FINDINGS**

The Center for Media Engagement examined two story areas journalists should consider when telling stories about protests involving underrepresented groups. These were whether the person whose death spurred the protest was humanized or criminalized and whether the protest itself was legitimized or delegitimized.

These two areas were considered because research has identified the coverage of these particular areas as problematic in protest stories. Humanizing stories are intended to show the person whose death spurred the protest as a human being, rather than a criminal. In our study, humanizing stories mentioned personal information about the teenager, while criminalalizing stories noted prior legal issues. Stories that legitimized the protest focused on the purpose of the demonstration, while delegitimizing stories emphasized disruptions from the protest.
Legitimizing, Humanizing (Most Effective Approach)

Police killing of 15-year-old sparks demands for action
Staff reports:
Activists took to the streets in cities around the United States calling for justice for Jerold Coleman, a 15-year-old Black teen who was shot and killed by police while attending a graduation celebration. Police said they were responding to a noise complaint.

Community members and activists took part in an hours-long march calling for accountability and justice for a 15-year-old Black teen who was shot and killed by police while attending a graduation celebration. Police said they were responding to a noise complaint.

San Diego’s march was part of a broader effort that started gaining support in 2015 after the death of Michael Brown in Ferguson, Mo. Advocates say this isn’t the first time police violence has upended the Black community in their area and called for public officials to hold the involved officers accountable.

The way Black people are being treated by police reflects poorly on our city and our society,” said Martha Smith, a founding member of San Diego’s Black Lives Matter chapter. “The group is pushing for the city to reconsider its funding allocations for police defense and weaponry in favor of more community outreach programs.

Meanwhile, Black Lives Matter leaders are calling for the city to reconsider its funding allocations for police defense and weaponry in favor of more community outreach programs.

“We all want justice. We will pursue it at every length,” he said.

Critical comments:
Coleman was an androgynous teen and the youngest of three siblings. His mother, Asia Coleman, tearfully watched her son “sad, quiet, and spiritual, and just loved his family,” Others in the community recalled his sense of humor.

As the investigation into Coleman’s death continues, advocates intend to continue protesting until justice is served. Though some arrests have been made, most demonstrations have been peaceful.

Humanizing
Humanizing content mentions personal information, rather than noting prior legal issues

Notes: Gray highlights note text that reflects a legitimizing story approach. Orange highlights note text that reflects a humanizing story approach. Participants were randomly assigned to read about either Black Lives Matter or an immigration protest. Shown here is the Black Lives Matter story. Both stories used similar language.

Legitimizing, Criminalizing

Police killing of 15-year-old sparks demands for action
Staff reports:
Activists took to the streets in cities around the United States calling for justice for Jerold Coleman, a 15-year-old Black teen who was shot and killed by police while attending a graduation celebration. Police said they were responding to a noise complaint.

Community members and activists took part in an hours-long march calling for accountability and justice for a 15-year-old Black teen who was shot and killed by police while attending a graduation celebration. Police said they were responding to a noise complaint.

San Diego’s march was part of a broader effort that started gaining support in 2015 after the death of Michael Brown in Ferguson, Mo. Advocates say this isn’t the first time police violence has upended the Black community in their area and called for public officials to hold the involved officers accountable.

The way Black people are being treated by police reflects poorly on our city and our society,” said Martha Smith, a founding member of San Diego’s Black Lives Matter chapter. “The group is pushing for the city to reconsider its funding allocations for police defense and weaponry in favor of more community outreach programs.

Meanwhile, Black Lives Matter leaders are calling for the city to reconsider its funding allocations for police defense and weaponry in favor of more community outreach programs.

“We all want justice. We will pursue it at every length,” he said.

Critical comments:
Coleman was an androgynous teen and the youngest of three siblings. His mother, Asia Coleman, tearfully watched her son “sad, quiet, and spiritual, and just loved his family,” Others in the community recalled his sense of humor.

As the investigation into Coleman’s death continues, advocates intend to continue protesting until justice is served. Though some arrests have been made, most demonstrations have been peaceful.

Criminalizing
Criminalizing content notes prior legal issues, rather than mentioning personal information

Notes: Gray highlights note text that reflects a legitimizing story approach. Blue highlights note text that reflects a criminalizing story approach. Participants were randomly assigned to read about either Black Lives Matter or an immigration protest. Shown here is the Black Lives Matter story. Both stories used similar language.
Notes: Yellow highlights note text that reflects a delegitimizing story approach. Orange highlights note text that reflects a humanizing story approach. Participants were randomly assigned to read about either Black Lives Matter or an immigration protest. Shown here is the Black Lives Matter story. Both stories used similar language.

Notes: Yellow highlights note text that reflects a delegitimizing story approach. Blue highlights note text that reflects a criminalizing story approach. Participants were randomly assigned to read about either Black Lives Matter or an immigration protest. Shown here is the Black Lives Matter story. Both stories used similar language.
The stories that humanized the teenager whose death led to the protest prompted more positive attitudes toward the teenager, the protest itself, and the protesters. Stories told in a legitimizing way led to more positive attitudes toward the protest and the protesters but had no effect on attitudes toward the teenager who was the focus of the story. These results were consistent across both topics (Black Lives Matter and immigration) and held up regardless of participants’ political beliefs.

**Protest Stories that Humanize and Legitimize Protest Improve Attitudes Toward Protest and Protesters**

![Graph](image)

**Data from the Center for Media Engagement**

Notes: Average scores are shown. All ratings are on a 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree) scale where a higher number indicates more positive attitudes. Ratings are significantly different between the humanizing and criminalizing conditions for positive attitudes toward the teenager in the story, the protest, and protesters at $p < .05$. Means are only significantly different between the legitimizing and delegitimizing stories for attitudes toward the protest and toward the protesters at $p < .05$. 

We also examined what effect telling protest stories in a humanizing and legitimizing way would have on people’s perceptions of how credible the story was. Results differed based on political beliefs. For Democrats and those with Democrat-leaning views, seeing a story told in a humanizing way increased their perception that the story was credible. But the opposite happened with those with Republican and Republican-leaning views. They viewed the story as less credible if it was told in a humanizing way.° Whether a story was told in a legitimizing or delegitimizing way had no effect on credibility perceptions.

**Protest Stories that Humanize are Perceived as More Credible by Democrats and Those with Democrat-leaning Views**

![Bar chart showing credibility ratings for humanizing and criminalizing stories among Democrats and Republicans](chart.png)

**Data from the Center for Media Engagement**

Notes: Average scores are shown. All ratings are on a 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree) scale where a higher number indicates greater perceptions that a news story is credible. Ratings are significantly different between the humanizing and criminalizing conditions for Republicans and Republican-leaning at p < .001 and for Democrats and Democrat-leaning at p = .02.
METHODOLOGY

Our 1,506 participants were recruited through CloudResearch, which draws participants from Amazon Mechanical Turk. Participants had to be at least 18 years old and live in the United States. We set up quotas to ensure we would recruit roughly half participants who are Democrats or have Democrat-leaning views and half who are Republican or have Republican-leaning views. This was neither a random nor a representative sample.

After consenting, participants were randomly assigned to view a news story about a protest involving an underrepresented group. They either saw a news story about a Black Lives Matter protest after a Black teenager was shot by police or a news story about a protest after a Latin American immigrant teenager was killed at a migrant detention center. Additionally, participants were randomly assigned to view a story that either legitimized or delegitimized the protest and that either humanized or criminalized the teenager whose death spurred the protest. Multiple parts of the story were changed to reflect the different types of stories for each topic.

Data from the Center for Media Engagement

Notes: Participants were first randomly assigned to either the Black Lives Matter or the immigration conditions. Then they were randomly assigned to view one of the four stories above.
The news stories were adapted from real news stories about actual events but were edited for length, clarity, and to fit the experimental conditions. Two topics were used to examine robustness of results across topics, not because specific differences were predicted.

The stories were all posted on The Gazette-Star, a mock news site we created. All stories had a “staff reports” byline, and a functional “like” button, although almost no participants clicked it.

We kept story details as consistent across topics as possible so they were comparable. Text was added to stories to reflect the experimental conditions (legitimizing versus delegitimizing and humanizing versus criminalizing). This content was consistent across topics. To help ensure people read the stories, the stories were short (roughly 300 words each), the survey was designed so that people could not advance past the story they were to read for 5 seconds, and participants who could not see the story or indicated they failed to read the story were screened out.

After viewing the news story, participants answered questions about their attitudes toward the teenager in the story whose death spurred the protest, the protest itself, the protesters, and their perceptions of how credible the news story was.
### Participant Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Survey (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( N = 1,506 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>53.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 to 29</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 to 49</td>
<td>50.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 to 64</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 and older</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hispanic, Latino, Latina</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>94.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>80.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biracial/Other</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school degree or less</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Some college, trade school</td>
<td>31.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree or more</td>
<td>59.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Political beliefs</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democrat/Democrat-leaning</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republican/Republican-leaning</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from the Center for Media Engagement
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4 This assertion stems from the protest paradigm, which has found that systemic biases built into journalistic routines tend to delegitimize and marginalize social movements. See Harlow, S., & Kilgo, D. K. (2020). Perceptions versus performance: How routines, norms, and values influence journalists’ protest coverage decisions. Journalism, Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1177/1464884920983058.

5 This was measured using six statements on a 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree) scale. These were: “[The teenager] was a criminal,” “[The teenager] was responsible for his own death,” “Police were responsible for [the teenager’s] death,” “[The teenager’s family] deserves justice,” “[The teenager] was too young to die,” and “[Black Lives Matter/Immigration] protests are warranted.” The name of the teenager or the protest was inserted into the question for the appropriate condition. The first two statements were reverse scored, so that for all statements a higher number indicated more positive attitudes. The statements were averaged into an index, $M = 5.30, SD = 1.27$, Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.85$. This index was the dependent variable for a multi-factorial ANOVA with both humanizing/criminalizing and legitimizing/delegitimizing as independent variables. A main effect was found for humanizing, $F(1, 1340) = 79.27, p < .001, \eta^2 = 0.04$, but not for legitimizing, $F(1, 1340) = 0.19, p = .66, \eta^2 = 0.00$. This model and all other models reported in this study included income and education as covariates because a random assignment test showed that one income group and one education group were slightly over-represented in one manipulated condition. Political beliefs was also used as covariate given the partisan divide regarding attitudes toward race and immigration. See Doherty, C., Kiley, J. & Johnson, B. (2017, October). The partisan divide on political values grows even wider, Pew Research Center. https://www.pewresearch.org/politics/2017/10/05/4-race-immigration-and-discrimination/.

6 This was measured using four statements on a 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree) scale. These were: “The protests were a waste of time,” “There was a legitimate reason to protest,” “More protests are needed to achieve justice,” and “The protests don’t accomplish anything.” The first and fourth statements were reverse scored, so for all statements a higher number indicated a more positive attitude toward protest. These were averaged together into an index, $M = 4.74, SD = 1.69$, Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.92$. This index was the dependent variable for a multi-factorial ANOVA with both humanizing/criminalizing and legitimizing/delegitimizing as independent variables. A main effect was found for both humanizing, $F(1, 1340) = 7.74, p = .01, \eta^2 = 0.003$, and legitimizing, $F(1, 1340) = 5.62, p = .02, \eta^2 = 0.002$.

7 This was measured using three statements on a 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree) scale from Mourão, R. R., & Kilgo, D. K. (2021). Black Lives Matter coverage: How protest news frames and attitudinal change affect social media engagement. Digital Journalism, Advance online publication. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2021.1931900. These were: “Protesters were out of line,” “Protesters were violent,” and “Protesters helped initiate conflict.” The items were reversed scored so that a higher number indicated more positive attitudes toward protesters and averaged into an index, $M = 4.67, SD = 1.81$, Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.92$. This index was the dependent variable in a multi-factorial ANOVA with both humanizing/criminalizing and legitimizing/delegitimizing as independent variables. A main effect was found for both humanizing, $F(1, 1335) = 3.78, p = .03, \eta^2 = 0.002$, and legitimizing, $F(1, 1335) = 499.55, p < .001, \eta^2 = 0.21$. 

ENDNOTES
This was measured using a scale from Appelman, A., & Sundar, S. S. (2016). Measuring message credibility: Construction and validation of an exclusive scale. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly, 93*(1), 59–79. On a 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*) scale, participants rated how “authentic,” “accurate,” “believable,” and “trustworthy” the story was. Scores were averaged together into an index, $M = 5.01$ $SD = 1.27$, Cronbach’s $\alpha = 0.91$. This index was the dependent variable in a multi-factorial ANOVA with both humanizing/criminalizing and legitimizing/delegitimizing as independent variables. A significant interaction effect, $F(1, 1339) = 30.87$, $p < .001$, $\eta^2 = 0.02$, was found for political beliefs and humanizing, although no significant main or interaction effects were found for legitimizing. A Sidak adjustment showed that story credibility perceptions for Democrats and Democrat-leaning participants were significantly higher when exposed to the humanizing story, compared to the criminalizing story. The same test showed that story credibility perceptions for Republicans and Republican-leaning participants were significantly lower when exposed to the humanizing story, compared to the criminalizing story.

Initially, 1,623 people started the survey, but data were removed for those who sped through the survey ($n = 56$), indicated they could not see the news story that was the experiment stimulus ($n = 29$), may have taken the survey more than once ($n = 24$), failed at least one of three attention checks embedded in the survey ($n = 6$), did not indicate they were at least 18 years old ($n = 1$), and indicated they did not read the provided news story that was the experimental stimulus ($n = 1$), resulting in $N = 1,506$.

The Institutional Review Board at The University of Texas at Austin approved the project June 24, 2021.

The stories used in this experiment were adapted from exemplars that appeared in previous content analyses of immigration and anti-Black racism protests stories that appeared in local and national newspaper coverage.